ORIGINAL PAPER

# Aromaticity and diatropicity of *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha, \omega$ -quinododimethides

Jun-ichi Aihara · Masakazu Makino

Received: 27 June 2008 / Accepted: 7 July 2008 / Published online: 22 July 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2008

**Abstract** *p*-Polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides were predicted to be moderately aromatic and diatropic, although they exist only in a single classical resonance structure with no aromatic conjugated circuits. Such a dichotomy was resolved using our graph theory of aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism. Six-site non-conjugated circuits were found to contribute appreciably to aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism. Within each quinododimethide molecule, local aromaticity increases on going from the outermost to inner phenylene rings.

**Keywords** p-Polyphenylquinododimethides  $\cdot p$ -Polyphenyl  $\cdot$  Aromaticity  $\cdot$  Ring-current diamagnetism  $\cdot$  Graph theory

## 1 Introduction

The conjugated circuit model of aromaticity states that conjugated circuits are the main origin of aromaticity in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [1,2]. Dewar resonance energies of polycyclic benzenoid hydrocarbons [3] can be reproduced well in terms of conjugated circuits [1,2]. Non-conjugated circuits have been regarded as minor contributors to global aromaticity. In 1975, we tacitly assumed that polyacene-2,3-quinododimethides are olefinic or polyene-like in nature, because only one classical resonance structure can be written for them [4]. Conjugated circuits are missing in these hydrocarbons. Schleyer and Puhlhofer also used such a hydrocarbon with exocyclic

J. Aihara · M. Makino

J. Aihara (🖂)

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, Oya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan e-mail: scjaiha@yahoo.co.jp

Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Shizuoka, Yada, Shizuoka 422-8526, Japan

methylenes as a polyene-like reference structure to estimate the aromatic stabilization energy of a like cyclic  $\pi$ -system [5].

In 1973, nevertheless, Gleicher et al. found that a series of polyacene-2, 3-quinododimethides might be moderately aromatic with positive Hess-Schaad and Dewar resonance energies [6]. There seemed to be a steady increase in resonance energy per  $\pi$ -electron on going from *p*-xylylenes to higher homologues. Thus, even polycyclic semibenzenoid hydrocarbons that consist of essential single and double bonds might possibly be aromatic if they are sufficiently large in molecular size. Recently, fulminene-2,10-quinodimethide, which also exists only in a single classical resonance structure, was predicted to have proton chemical shift values on the aromatic range [7,8].

We then examined such anomalous aromatic and diatropic character of polyacene-2,3-quinododimethides [9] using our graph theory of aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism [10–25] and found that, for these hydrocarbons, many non-conjugated circuits contribute much to aromaticity and diatropicity. As an extension of this work, we attempt to explore aromatic and diatropic character of *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ quinododimethides using the same theory. At first glance, these hydrocarbons appear to be much more polyene-like than polyacene-2,3-quinododimethides. Only one resonance structure can be written for these hydrocarbons.

### 2 Theory

Our graph theory of aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism was constructed within a simple Hückel framework. We use a topological resonance energy (TRE) as an indicator of global aromaticity [10–12]. Percentage TRE (% TRE) is defined as 100 times TRE, divided by the total  $\pi$ -binding energy of the polyene reference [12,17,19]. This quantity is useful as a measure of aromaticity, normalized with respect to the molecular size. Bond resonance energy (BRE) represents the contribution of a given  $\pi$ -bond to the global aromaticity [17–20]. We pointed out that the BRE fro a peripheral  $\pi$ -bond can be used as an indicator of local aromaticity for the ring to which the  $\pi$ -bond belongs [20]. For simplicity, all molecules are assumed to be planar with all rings being regular hexagons.

Circuit resonance energy (CRE) has been interpreted as an energy gain or loss due to cyclic conjugation along a given circuit [21–25]. Circuits stand for all possible cyclic or closed paths that can be chosen from a cyclic  $\pi$ -system [26]. The CRE for the ith circuit in a polycyclic  $\pi$ -system G is defined as  $A_i$  in the form [12,21–25]:

$$A_i = 4 \sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} \frac{P_{\text{G}-\text{r}_i}(X_j)}{P_{\text{G}}(X_j)} \tag{1}$$

where  $r_i$  is a set of carbon atoms that constitute the ith circuit  $c_i$ ;  $G-r_i$  is the subsystem of G, obtained by deleting from G all carbon atoms that constitute the ith circuit;  $P_G(X)$ and  $P_{G-r_i}(X)$  are the Hückel characteristic polynomials for G and  $G-r_i$ , respectively; and  $X_i$  is the jth largest root of the equation  $P_G(X) = 0$ ; and j runs over all occupied  $\pi$  molecular orbitals. If some occupied molecular orbitals have the same energies, this formula must be replaced by others [13–15].

The sum of  $A_i$  values or CREs over all circuits represents the aromatic stabilization energy for an entire  $\pi$ -system. This sum is termed a magnetic resonance energy (MRE), which means a TRE-like quantity derived from the magnetic response of a cyclic  $\pi$ -system [21–25]:

$$MRE/|\beta| = \sum_{i}^{G} A_{i}$$
(2)

where  $\beta$  is the standard resonance integral between two adjacent carbon  $2p_z$  orbitals. An excellent correlation was observed between MRE and TRE for polycyclic benzenoid hydrocarbons and heterocycles [21,23,25]. Note that no hypothetical polyene reference is needed to evaluate the MRE.

In fact,  $A_i$  appears in our formula for ring-current magnetic susceptibility [12]:

$$\chi_{\rm G} = 4.5\chi_0 \sum_{i}^{\rm G} A_i \left(\frac{S_i}{S_0}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

where  $\chi_0$  is the ring-current susceptibility of benzene;  $S_i$  and  $S_0$  are the areas of  $r_i$  and the benzene ring, respectively. Positive and negative  $A_i$  values represent diamagnetic and paramagnetic contributions, respectively. From this formula we can derive the contribution of the ith circuit to  $\chi_G$  in the form [12–14]:

$$\chi_i = 4.5 \chi_0 A_i \left(\frac{S_i}{S_0}\right)^2 \tag{4}$$

Every circuit is supposed to sustain a  $\pi$ -electron current in the external magnetic field, the intensity of which is given by [14–16]:

$$I_i = 4.5I_0 A_i \frac{S_i}{S_0} \tag{5}$$

where  $I_0$  is the intensity of a  $\pi$ -electron current induced in the benzene ring. Positive and negative  $A_i$  values now represent diatropicity and paratropicity, respectively. Thus, at the level of individual circuits, aromaticity and antiaromaticity exactly correspond to diamagnetism and paramagnetism, respectively. In general, a total  $\pi$  current density map for a polycyclic  $\pi$ -system can be obtained by superposing all the circuit currents.

#### 3 Results and discussion

*p*-Polyphenyls (1–8) and *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides (9–16) studied are shown in Fig. 1. These two sets of polycyclic hydrocarbons are not condensed ones; all circuits are six-site ones with essentially the same areas, being separated spatially



Fig. 1 *p*-Polyphenyls (1–8) and *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha, \omega$ -quinododimethides (9–16) studied

from each other. The number of circuits is necessarily equal to that of six-membered rings. BREs for CC bonds that do not belong to any ring vanish. These  $\pi$ -bonds do not sustain ring currents.

TREs, MREs, and ring-current diamagnetic susceptibilities ( $\chi_G$ ) for **1–16** are listed in Table 1. Non-zero BREs are presented in Table 2. The intensities of diamagnetic ring currents induced in **1–16** are summarized in Table 3. For all circuits in **1–16**, *S<sub>i</sub>* is assumed to be *S*<sub>0</sub>, so that the portion of ring-current susceptibility attributable to a given ring (i.e.,  $\chi_i$ ) is proportional not only to the intensity of the ring current but also to the CRE. Therefore, CRE can be calculated without using Eq. 1. That is, CRE in units of  $|\beta|$  is equal to the current intensity in units of *I*<sub>0</sub> divided by 4.5. CREs thus obtained for all circuits (i.e., all rings) in **1–16** are presented in Table 4. It follows that the ring-current susceptibility in units of  $\chi_0$ , divided by 4.5, is equal to the MRE in units of  $|\beta|$ . As far as **1–16** are concerned,  $\chi_G$  can be used as a measure of global aromaticity [27,28].

energies (TREs), magnetic resonance energies (MREs), and ring-current diamagnetic susceptibilities ( $\chi_G$ ) for p-polyphenyls and p-polyphenyl- $\alpha, \omega$ - quinododimethides	Table 1         Topological resonance	Species	$TRE^{a}( \beta )$	MRE $( \beta )$	$\chi_{c}(\chi_{0})$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	energies (TREs), magnetic				NG (NO)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	resonance energies (MREs), and	<i>p</i> -Polyphenyls					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ring-current diamagnetic	1	0.2726 (3.528)	0.2222	1.0000		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	susceptibilities $(\chi_G)$ for	2	0.5017 (3.159)	0.4155	1.8696		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<ul> <li><i>p</i>-polyphenyls and</li> <li><i>p</i>-polyphenyl-<i>a</i>,<i>ω</i>-</li> <li>quinododimethides</li> <li><sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are %</li> </ul>	3	0.7267 (3.022)	0.6067	2.7300		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4	0.9505 (2.954)	0.7975	3.5885		
6         1.3974 (2.879)         1.1789         5.3049           7         1.6207 (2.858)         1.3696         6.1630           8         1.8440 (2.842)         1.5602         7.0211           p-Polyphenyl-α,         ω-quinododimethides         9         0.0612 (0.621)         0.0593         0.2667           10         0.1948 (1.086)         0.1886         0.8489           11         0.3771 (1.449)         0.3624         1.6308           12         0.5865 (1.717)         0.5562         2.5030           13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999           a Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		5	1.1740 (2.908)	0.9882	4.4468		
7         1.6207 (2.858)         1.3696         6.1630           8         1.8440 (2.842)         1.5602         7.0211           p-Polyphenyl-α, ω-quinododimethides         9         0.0612 (0.621)         0.0593         0.2667           10         0.1948 (1.086)         0.1886         0.8489           11         0.3771 (1.449)         0.3624         1.6308           12         0.5865 (1.717)         0.5562         2.5030           13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999           a Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		6	1.3974 (2.879)	1.1789	5.3049		
8         1.8440 (2.842)         1.5602         7.0211           p-Polyphenyl-α, ω-quinododimethides         9         0.0612 (0.621)         0.0593         0.2667           9         0.0612 (0.621)         0.0593         0.2667           10         0.1948 (1.086)         0.1886         0.8489           11         0.3771 (1.449)         0.3624         1.6308           12         0.5865 (1.717)         0.5562         2.5030           13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999           a Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		7	1.6207 (2.858)	1.3696	6.1630		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		8	1.8440 (2.842)	1.5602	7.0211		
9         0.0612 (0.621)         0.0593         0.2667           10         0.1948 (1.086)         0.1886         0.8489           11         0.3771 (1.449)         0.3624         1.6308           12         0.5865 (1.717)         0.5562         2.5030           13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999 <sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		<i>p</i> -Polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides					
10         0.1948 (1.086)         0.1886         0.8489           11         0.3771 (1.449)         0.3624         1.6308           12         0.5865 (1.717)         0.5562         2.5030           13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999 <sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		9	0.0612 (0.621)	0.0593	0.2667		
11         0.3771 (1.449)         0.3624         1.6308           12         0.5865 (1.717)         0.5562         2.5030           13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999 <sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		10	0.1948 (1.086)	0.1886	0.8489		
12         0.5865 (1.717)         0.5562         2.5030           13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999 <sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		11	0.3771 (1.449)	0.3624	1.6308		
13         0.8097 (1.914)         0.7560         3.4022           14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999           a Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		12	0.5865 (1.717)	0.5562	2.5030		
14         1.0387 (2.059)         0.9555         4.2999 <sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		13	0.8097 (1.914)	0.7560	3.4022		
a Values in parentheses are %         15         1.2695 (2.167)         1.1528         5.1875           TREs         16         1.5000 (2.247)         1.3478         6.0653		14	1.0387 (2.059)	0.9555	4.2999		
TREs 16 1.5000 (2.247) 1.3478 6.0653		15	1.2695 (2.167)	1.1528	5.1875		
	TREs	16	1.5000 (2.247)	1.3478	6.0653		

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Table 2Bond resonanceenergies (BREs) for $p$ -polyphenyls and $p$ -polyphenyl- $\alpha, \omega$ -quinododimethides	Species	BRE $( \beta )$			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Ring a	Ring b	Ring c	Ring d
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<i>p</i> -Polyphenyls				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	0.2726			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2	0.2538			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3	0.2521	0.2356		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4	0.2518	0.2339		
		5	0.2518	0.2336	0.2321	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6	0.2518	0.2336	0.2318	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7	0.2518	0.2336	0.2318	0.2115
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		8	0.2518	0.2336	0.2318	0.2315
9         0.0612           10         0.1003           11         0.1156         0.1668           12         0.1234         0.1900           13         0.1275         0.2004         0.2120           14         0.1297         0.2055         0.2202           15         0.1309         0.2080         0.2237         0.2264           16         0.1314         0.2093         0.2253         0.2286		<i>p</i> -Polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides				
10         0.1003           11         0.1156         0.1668           12         0.1234         0.1900           13         0.1275         0.2004         0.2120           14         0.1297         0.2055         0.2202           15         0.1309         0.2080         0.2237         0.2264           16         0.1314         0.2093         0.2253         0.2286		9	0.0612			
11       0.1156       0.1668         12       0.1234       0.1900         13       0.1275       0.2004       0.2120         14       0.1297       0.2055       0.2202         15       0.1309       0.2080       0.2237       0.2264         16       0.1314       0.2093       0.2253       0.2286		10	0.1003			
12         0.1234         0.1900           13         0.1275         0.2004         0.2120           14         0.1297         0.2055         0.2202           15         0.1309         0.2080         0.2237         0.2264           16         0.1314         0.2093         0.2253         0.2286		11	0.1156	0.1668		
130.12750.20040.2120140.12970.20550.2202150.13090.20800.22370.2264160.13140.20930.22530.2286		12	0.1234	0.1900		
140.12970.20550.2202150.13090.20800.22370.2264160.13140.20930.22530.2286		13	0.1275	0.2004	0.2120	
150.13090.20800.22370.2264160.13140.20930.22530.2286		14	0.1297	0.2055	0.2202	
<b>16</b> 0.1314 0.2093 0.2253 0.2286		15	0.1309	0.2080	0.2237	0.2264
		16	0.1314	0.2093	0.2253	0.2286

Figure 2 shows a plot of MRE against TRE for 1–16. An excellent correlation is found between these two quantities with a correlation coefficient of 0.997. This indicates that not only TRE but also MRE can be used as a reliable indicator of global aromaticity. In fact, these two sets of hydrocarbons exhibit slightly different colinearities. An approximate value for BRE can be obtained by dividing the CRE by

Table 3 Intensities of ring	Species Intensity of a ring current $(I_0)$				
currents for <i>p</i> -polyphenyls and <i>p</i> -polyphenyl- $\alpha, \omega$ -		Ring a	Ring b	Ring c	Ring d
quinodounneundes	n-Polynhenvls				
	1	1.0000			
	2	0.9348			
	3	0.9294	0.8712		
	4	0.9287	0.8656		
	5	0.9286	0.8649	0.8599	
	6	0.9285	0.8647	0.8592	
	° 7	0.9285	0.8647	0.8590	0.8584
	8	0.9285	0.8647	0.8590	0.8583
	<i>n</i> -Polyphenyl- <i>a</i>				
	$\omega$ -quinododimethides				
	9	0.2667			
	10	0.4244			
	11	0.4829	0.6650		
	12	0.5119	0.7396		
	13	0.5272	0.7714	0.8049	
	14	0.5352	0.7867	0.8280	
	15	0.5394	0.7943	0.8378	0.8447
	16	0.5415	0.7980	0.8423	0.8508
Table 4 Circuit recommon					
energies (CREs) for	Species	$CRE( \beta$			
<i>p</i> -polyphenyls and <i>p</i> -polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -		Ring a	Ring b	Ring c	Ring d
quinododimethides	<i>p</i> -Polyphenyls				
-	1	0.2222			
	2	0.2077			
	3	0.2065	0.1936		
	4	0.2064	0.1924		
	5	0.2063	0.1922	0.1911	
	6	0.2063	0.1922	0.1909	
	7	0.2063	0.1922	0.1909	0.1908
	8	0.2063	0.1922	0.1909	0.1907
	<i>p</i> -Polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides				
	9	0.0593			
	10	0.0943			
	11	0.1073	0.1478		
	12	0.1138	0.1644		
	13	0.1172	0.1714	0.1789	
	14	0.1189	0.1748	0.1840	
	15	0.1199	0.1765	0.1862	0.1877
	16	0.1203	0.1773	0.1872	0.1891

4.5. Both of these two quantities can be used as indicators of local aromaticity [20]. Thus, our graph theory allows us to interpret the TRE, BRE,  $\pi$  current density, and  $\chi_{G}$  consistently in terms of CREs.

We first survey the aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism of *p*-polyphenyls (1-8). One can write  $2^N$  classical resonance structures for 1-8, where *N* is the number of benzene rings. These benzenoid hydrocarbons are highly aromatic with large



Fig. 2 Correlation between TREs and MREs for *p*-polyphenyls ( $\circ$ ) and *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides ( $\bullet$ )

positive % TREs. All constituent benzene rings exhibit large positive BREs and sustain large diamagnetic currents when the molecules are placed in the magnetic field. All benzene rings are rather similar in local aromaticity to each other. Average local aromaticity decreases to some extent on going from benzene to higher *p*-polyphenyls, since inner rings are slightly less aromatic than edge rings. High aromaticity of 1-8conform to the fact that they are a kind of fully benzenoid hydrocarbons in Clar's terminology [29,30]. All benzene rings are sextet rings [29,30] and carry aromatic six-site conjugated circuits with them.

We then examine the aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism of *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha, \omega$ -quinododimethides (**9–16**). These hydrocarbons have been expected to be nonaromatic in nature, because neither sextet rings nor conjugated circuits can be chosen from the  $\pi$ -system. All the CC single and double bonds in the classical resonance structures are fixed ones. However, these hydrocarbons were found to be still aromatic with positive TREs and MREs and sustain diamagnetic ring currents. It is true that each quinododimethide is less aromatic with a smaller % TRE than the corresponding *p*-polyphenyl. Exomethylenes suppress not only cyclic conjugation but also circulation of  $\pi$ -electrons to some extent.

As can be seen from the BREs in Table 2 and CREs in Table 4, the density of local aromaticity in **9–16** is higher in inner rings. It seems likely that the perturbation produced on the inner rings by the exomethylenes rapidly decrease as the number of hexagonal rings increases. As a result, a diamagnetic current is fairly strong in the inner rings. The % TRE then increases on the elongation of the *p*-polyphenyl moiety. *p*-xylylene (**9**) retains only 20% of the TRE for benzene (**1**). In marked contrast, *p*-octaphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethide (**16**) retains more than 80% of the % TRE for *p*-polyphenyl (**8**). Thus, higher members of the *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethide series are far from being non-aromatic cyclic polyenes. They indeed are



aromatic species. TRE for **8** is 6.8 times as large as that for **1**, whereas TRE for **16** is 24.5 times as large as that for **9**.

In this context, large polyacene-2,3-quinododimethides were predicted to be moderately aromatic and sustain moderately strong ring currents, although only one classical resonance structure can be written for them [9]. Such hydrocarbons are exemplified by two hexacenequinododimethides in Fig. 3. As seen from this figure, the hexagonal rings of large local aromaticity are again those located further from the exomethylenes. Non-conjugated circuits must likewise contribute appreciably to the aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism of these hydrocarbons. Now, not only polyacene-1,2quinododimethides but also *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides proved to be aromatic, although no conjugated circuits can be chosen from the  $\pi$ -system. This aspect of quinododimethides can partly be justified by the extended Hückel rule proposed by Hosoya et al. [31,32]. This rule states that all six-site circuits are aromatic in nature whether they are conjugated ones or not.

#### 4 Concluding remarks

*p*-Polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides were predicted to be more or less aromatic and diatropic, although they have no conjugated circuits. Such an apparent dichotomy with respect to aromatic character was interpreted using our graph theory of aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism. Non-conjugated six-site circuits contribute appreciably to aromaticity and ring-current diamagnetism in these hydrocarbons. At least, six-membered rings far from the exomethylenes exhibit considerable local aromaticity. One, however, should remember that *p*-polyphenyl- $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -quinododimethides must still be kinetically very unstable even though they are moderately aromatic. They are supposed to be chemically reactive, because all or some of the rings would tend to convert into fully aromatic benzene rings.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 16550011) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. Computations were carried out at the Information Processing Center, Shizuoka University, and the Research Center for Computational Science, Okazaki National Research Institutes.

#### References

- 1. M. Randić, Chem. Phys. Lett. 38, 68 (1976)
- 2. M. Randić, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 444 (1977)
- 3. M.J.S. Dewar, C. de Llano, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 789 (1969)
- 4. J. Aihara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 48, 3637 (1975)
- 5. P.v.R. Schleyer, F. Puhlhofer, Org. Lett. 4, 2873 (2002)
- 6. G.J. Gleicher, D.D. Newkirk, J.C. Arnold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 2526 (1973)
- C.S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, W.D. Allen, H.F. Schaefer III, P.v.R. Schleyer, Org. Lett. 7, 1457 (2005)
- F. Faglioni, A. Ligabue, S. Pelloni, A. Soncini, R.G. Viglione, M.B. Ferraro, R. Zanasi, P. Lazzeretti, Org. Lett. 7, 3457 (2005)
- 9. J. Aihara, M. Makino, Chem. Asian J. 3, 585 (2008)
- 10. J. Aihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 2750 (1976)
- 11. I. Gutman, M. Milun, N. Trinajistić, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 1692 (1977)
- 12. J. Aihara, Pure Appl. Chem. 54, 1115 (1982)
- 13. J. Aihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 5913 (1979)
- 14. J. Aihara, T. Horikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 56, 1853 (1983)
- 15. J. Aihara, T. Horikawa, Chem. Phys. Lett. 95, 561 (1983)
- 16. J. Aihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 298 (1985)
- 17. J. Aihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 4130 (1995)
- 18. J. Aihara, J. Phys. Chem. 99, 12739 (1995)
- 19. J. Aihara, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 2185 (1996)
- 20. J. Aihara, T. Ishida, H. Kanno, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 80, 1518 (2007)
- 21. J. Aihara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 77, 651 (2004)
- 22. J. Aihara, H. Kanno, J. Phys. Chem. A 109, 3717 (2005)
- 23. J. Aihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 2873 (2006)
- 24. T. Ishida, H. Kanno, J. Aihara, Pol. J. Chem. 81, 699 (2007)
- 25. J. Aihara, H. Kanno, T. Ishida, J. Phys. Chem. A 111, 8873 (2007)
- 26. A. Graovac, I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, T. Zivković, Theor. Chim. Acta 26, 67 (1972)
- 27. H.J. Dauben, Jr., J.D. Wilson, J.L. Laity, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 811 (1968)
- 28. H.J. Dauben, Jr., J.D. Wilson, J.L. Laity, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 1991 (1969)
- 29. E. Clar, Polycyclic Hydrocarbons (Academic Press, London, 1964), vols. 1,2.
- 30. E. Clar, The Aromatic Sextet (Wiley, London, 1972)
- 31. H. Hosoya, K. Hosoi, I. Gutman, Theor. Chim. Acta 38, 37 (1972)
- 32. H. Hosoya, Monatsh. Chem. 136, 1037 (2005)